# Can satellite imagery be used to assess forest recovery on seismic lines?

A. Van Dongen<sup>1</sup>, C. Jones<sup>1</sup>, C. Doucet<sup>2</sup>, T. Floreani<sup>2</sup>, A. Schoonmaker<sup>2</sup>, J. Harvey<sup>1</sup>, & D. Degenhardt<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Northern Forestry Center <sup>2</sup>NAIT Center for Boreal Research



# What are seismic lines?

- Linear clearings 5 -10 m wide
- Tree regeneration is slow and inconsistent even decades later
- Landscape fragmentation
- Affects predator-prey dynamics





# How do we track seismic line recovery?

- Need to understand current condition of seismic lines
- Recovery depends on many factors
- How do we assess linear footprint recovery and track over time?



David Dodge https://energi.media/alberta/seismic-lines-in-albertas-boreal-forest-boost-methane-emissions-u-of-c/





# **Remote Sensing**

- LiDAR (Light detecting and ranging)
- High resolution photogrammetry
- Costly & complex data processing



Fuse consulting



https://gogeomatics.ca/a-japanese-perspective-lidar-forest-data-for-resource-analysis-in-japan



# Could we use satellite imagery?

- Lower acquisition cost and simple interpretation
- Can it provide cursory-level recovery information?



https://spacenews.com/geoeye-2-price-tag-rises-ground-system-upgrades-0/





# Study Area

- NW of Manning, AB
- Seismic lines cleared in 1980s (5 m wide)
- Included seismic lines in natural forests and cutblocks
- Time since disturbance spanning 10-15 (cutblocks) and 40 years (natural forest)





# Satellite Imagery Assessments

- Classifications categories assigned to seismic line segments based on recent SPOT 6/7 satellite imagery (1.5 m res.) from Altalis
- 3 classification categories:
  - Not Recovered (NR)
  - Fractionally Recovered (FR)
  - Recovered (R)



Aerial photos from 2000 (1 m res.) were also used to evaluative relative change of the footprint over time





# **Classification Examples**

Not Recovered (6 lines)

Fractionally Recovered (10 lines)





# Ground-truthing



#### PRACTICAL ARTICLE

e Journal of the Society for Ecological Restoration

### Field sampling methods on seismic lines: a comparison between circular plots and belt transects

Caren Jones<sup>1</sup>, Angeline Van Dongen<sup>1</sup>, Jill Harvey<sup>1</sup>, Dani Degenhardt<sup>1,2</sup>



Seismic lines are linear clearings created for oil and gas exploration. Regeneration on seismic lines in Alberta's boreal forest is limited by slow natural recovery, making them persistent features on the landscape and prompting interest in line restoration through vegetation reestablishment. The goal of this study was to establish an effective woody vegetation sampling method for monitoring seismic line regeneration in boreal mixedwood forests. Data collected in belt transects and circular plots were sim-

# **Recovery Criteria**

- Average height of 3 m
- Average density of 2000 stems per hectare

2010 Reclamation Criteria for Wellsites and Associated Facilities for Forested Lands

(Updated July 2013)



Natural regeneration of forest vegetation on legacy seismic lines in boreal habitats in Alberta's oil sands region

CrossMark



Cassidy K. van Rensen<sup>a,\*</sup>, Scott E. Nielsen<sup>a</sup>, Barry White<sup>b</sup>, Tim Vinge<sup>b</sup>, Victor J. Lieffers<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Renewable Resources, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2H1, Canada <sup>b</sup> Forest Management Branch, Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development, Edmonton, Alberta T5K 2M4, Canada

#### ARTICLE INFO

#### ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 5 September 2014 Received in revised form 14 January 2015 Accepted 17 January 2015 Available online 11 February 2015 Mapping of oil reserves involves the use of seismic lines (linear disturbances) to determine both their location and extent. Conventional clearing techniques for seismic assessment have left a legacy of linear disturbances that cause habitat fragmentation. Little is known, however, about how local and landscape factors affect natural regeneration patterns of trees and shrubs on seismic lines that facilitate mapping and future projections of regeneration patterns. To understand factors affecting early forest regeneration



### How do classifications compare to criteria?



\*

## Are the classification categories different from each other?



Canada



# Effect of surrounding tree height

- Only seismic lines in cutblocks classified as Recovered
- Likely attributed to height differences casting shadows
- Until trees on the line reach similar heights to the surrounding forest, the lines will remain distinguishable on the imagery



Recovered

**Fractionally Recovered** 



# Imagery does capture lack of recovery

 Lines with poor regeneration were distinguishable from the satellite imagery



Not Recovered



Natural Resources Ressources naturelles Canada Canada

# Conclusions

- Visual assessments of satellite imagery are capable of distinguishing recovery from lack of recovery.
- We recommend a simpler two-tiered recovery classification system.
- Preliminary way for decision makers to understand recovery status and track over time





## Other Work – Cutblock study

 Are cutblocks 'erasing' the seismic line's footprint?









# Other Work – Handheld LiDAR

 Partnered with Wild & Pine to ground-truth commercially available handheld LiDAR technology for assessing seismic line recovery





# Other Work – Drone LiDAR

 Evaluating the use of drone-mounted LiDAR for monitoring recovery on oil sands and coal mine reclamation sites as an alternative to survey plots







# Acknowledgements

- Kaitlyn Trepanier, Jessica Hudson, Derek Alcorn, Kaela Walton-Sather, and Erin Laxton for their help in the field
- Industry partners (Keith Wells & Steve Blanton) at Manning Diversified Forest Products Ltd









# Thank you!



### Email: angeline.vandongen@nrcan-rncan.gc.ca





# Canada



